

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONARCH GREENBACK L.L.C.,
THE VIRGINIA BURROUGHS DAVISON
TRUST, THE BARBARA BURROUGHS
KNIPE TRUST, THE A.H.
BURROUGHS, III TRUST, AND THE
DOE RUN RESOURCES
CORPORATION

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: CV 02-436-S-EJL

CONSENT DECREE
WITH THE DOE RUN RESOURCES CORPORATION

I. BACKGROUND

A. The United States of America (United States), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended (CERCLA), seeking reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site near Atlanta, Idaho (the Site).

B. The defendant that has entered into this Consent Decree (Settling Defendant) does not admit any liability to Plaintiff arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaint.

C. The United States and Settling Defendant agree, and this Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith,

that settlement of this matter will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the Parties to this Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b) and also has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, Settling Defendant waives all objections and defenses that it may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendant shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree is binding upon the United States, and upon Settling Defendant and its successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

3. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meanings assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in any appendix attached hereto, the following definitions shall apply:

a. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.*

b. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto. In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and any appendix, the Consent Decree shall control.

c. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

d. "DOJ" shall mean the United States Department of Justice and any successor departments, agencies or instrumentalities of the United States.

e. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments, agencies or instrumentalities of the United States.

f. "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507.

g. "AOC Oversight Costs" shall mean those costs that EPA incurs after the date this Consent Decree is entered by the Court in monitoring and supervising Settling Defendants' compliance with the two Administrative Orders on Consent (AOCs) referenced in Paragraph 13 of this Consent Decree to determine whether Settling Defendant is in compliance with those AOCs, including costs incurred in reviewing plans, reports and other documents submitted pursuant to the AOCs, as well as costs incurred in overseeing implementation of the work, whether pertaining to design or implementation of removal activities or investigation of site contamination pursuant to the AOC's; however, AOC Oversight Costs do not include, *inter alia*: the costs incurred by the United States to obtain access, to conduct future response actions, or to enforce the terms of the AOCs or this Consent Decree including all costs incurred in connection with dispute resolution under the AOCs and all litigation costs.

h. "Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

i. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper or lower case letter.

j. "Parties" shall mean the United States and Settling Defendant.

k. "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs whether pertaining to design or implementation of removal activities or investigation of site contamination, that EPA or DOJ on behalf of EPA has paid at or in connection with the Site through the date this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, plus accrued Interest on all such costs through such date.

l. "Plaintiff" shall mean the United States.

m. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

n. "Settling Defendant" shall mean The Doe Run Resources Corporation, and its corporate predecessor, the St. Joe Minerals Company.

o. "Site" shall mean the Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site, and shall include all lands upon which any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from the Tailings Impoundments have been released, and all surface water and ground water bodies within which any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from the Tailings Impoundments have come to be located. The Site is estimated to encompass approximately eighty (80) acres as well as those areas of the Middle Fork of the Boise River and related tributaries where tailings released from the Tailings Impoundments have come to be located. The Site is located near Atlanta, Idaho, and approximately within Township 5 North, Range 11 East of the Boise Meridian. The Site shall also include the areal extent of contamination and all suitable areas in close proximity to the contamination necessary for implementation of the response action. Portions of the Site include lands under the custody, jurisdiction and control of the Forest Service. The Site is identified in the attached map which is Attachment A to this Consent Decree.

p. "United States" shall mean the United States of America, including its departments, agencies and instrumentalities.

V. PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

4. Payment of Past Response Costs to EPA.

- (A) Within 60 days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA \$410,000;
- (B) Within 365 days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA an additional \$400,000, plus an additional sum for Interest on that amount calculated from the date this Consent Decree is entered by the Court through the date of payment.

5. Payment shall be made by FedWire Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) to the U.S. Department of Justice account in accordance with EFT instructions provided to Settling Defendants by the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office in the District of Idaho following lodging of the Consent Decree.

6. At the time of payment, Settling Defendant shall also send notice that payment has been made to EPA and DOJ in accordance with Section XII (Notices and Submissions). Such notice shall reference the EPA Region and Site/Spill Identification Number 106C, DOJ case number 90-5-1-1-4541/1, and the civil action number.

7. The total amount to be paid pursuant to Paragraph 4 shall be deposited in the Talache Mining District Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Talache Mining District, or to be transferred to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

VI. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH CONSENT DECREE

8. Interest on Late Payments. If Settling Defendant fails to make any payment under Paragraph 4 (Payment of Response Costs) by the required due date, Interest shall continue to accrue on the unpaid balance through the date of payment.

9. Stipulated Penalty.

a. If any amounts due under Paragraph 4 are not paid by the required date, Settling Defendant shall be in violation of this Consent Decree and shall pay to EPA, as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the Interest required by Paragraph 8, \$3000 per violation per day that such payment is late.

b. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within 30 days of the date of the demand for payment of the penalties by EPA. All payments to EPA under this Paragraph shall be identified as "stipulated penalties" and shall be made by certified or cashier's check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund." The check, or a letter accompanying the check, shall reference the name and address of the party making payment, the Site name, the EPA Region and Site Spill ID Number 106C, DOJ Case Number 90-5-1-1-4541/1, and the civil action number. Settling Defendant shall send the check (and any accompanying letter) to:

EPA Superfund
Mellon Bank
EPA Region 10
Attn: Superfund Accounting
PO Box 360903M
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

c. At the time of each payment, Settling Defendant shall also send notice that payment has been made to EPA and DOJ in accordance with Section XII (Notices and Submissions). Such notice shall reference the EPA Region and Site/Spill ID Number 106C, DOJ Case Number 90-5-1-1-4541/1, and the civil action number.

d. Penalties shall accrue as provided in this Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified Settling Defendant of the violation or made a demand for payment, but need only be paid upon demand. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after payment or performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the date of payment or the final day of correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

10. If the United States brings an action to enforce this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited

to costs of attorney time.

11. Payments made under this Section shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiff by virtue of Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree.

12. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive payment of any portion of the stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree. Payment of stipulated penalties shall not excuse Settling Defendant from payment as required by Section V or from performance of any other requirements of this Consent Decree.

VII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

13. Covenant Not to Sue by United States. Except as specifically provided in Section VIII (Reservation of Rights by United States), the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendant pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), to recover Past Response Costs or AOC Oversight Costs. This covenant not to sue shall take effect upon receipt by EPA of all payments required by Section V, Paragraph 4 (Payment of Response Costs) and any amount due under Section VI (Failure to Comply with Consent Decree). This covenant not to sue is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree and under the following Administrative Orders on Consent (AOCs) entered into by Settling Defendant and EPA:

- (A) In the Matter of: Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site, Atlanta, Idaho,
Administrative Order on Consent for Removal Action, Docket No. CERCLA-10-2000-0151 (June 14, 2000); and
- (B) In the Matter of: Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site, Atlanta, Idaho,
Administrative Order on Consent for Removal Action, Docket No. CERCLA-10-2001-0166 (June 17, 2002).

This covenant not to sue extends only to Settling Defendant and does not extend to any other person.

VIII. RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS BY UNITED STATES

14. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to all matters not expressly included within the Covenant Not to Sue by Plaintiff in Paragraph 13. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to:

- a. liability for failure of Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent

Decree;

b. liability for costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States that are not within the definition of Past Response Costs or AOC Oversight Costs ;

c. liability for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606;

d. criminal liability;

e. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments; and

f. Settling Defendant's obligations under two AOCs identified in Paragraph 13 of this Consent Decree, and EPA's rights to seek injunctive relief, monetary penalties, response costs and punitive damages for any violations of those AOCs. However, the United States agrees that Settling Defendant shall not be required to implement the Post Implementation Site Control Plan developed under those AOCs, a draft of which is attached to this Consent Decree as Attachment B, until notified by EPA that it has determined, in its non-reviewable discretion, that Monarch will not be implementing the Post Implementation Site Control Plan. The United States Further agrees that all obligations described in the preceding sentence shall end on December 31, 2014.

IX. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

15. Settling Defendant covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees, with respect to Past Response Costs, AOC Oversight Costs or this Consent Decree, including but not limited to:

a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund based on Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, or 9613, or any other provision of law;

b. any claim arising out of the response actions at the Site for which the Past Response Costs were incurred, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of Idaho, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law; or

c. any claim against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613, relating to Past Response Costs or AOC Oversight Costs.

16. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute approval or preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. 300.700(d).

17. Settling Defendant agrees not to assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action that they may have for all matters relating to the Site, including for contribution, against any person where the person's liability to Settling Defendant with respect to the Site is based solely on having arranged for disposal or treatment, or for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances at the Site, or having accepted for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Site, if all or part of the disposal, treatment, or transport occurred before April 1, 2001, and the total amount of material containing hazardous substances contributed by such person to the Site was less than 110 gallons of liquid materials or 200 pounds of solid materials.

18. The waiver in Paragraph 17 shall not apply with respect to any defense, claim, or cause of action that Settling Defendant may have against any person meeting the above criteria if such person asserts a claim or cause of action relating to the Site against Settling Defendant. This waiver also shall not apply to any claim or cause of action against any person meeting the above criteria if EPA determines:

a. that such person has failed to comply with any EPA requests for information or administrative subpoenas issued pursuant to Section 104(e) or 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) or 9622(e), or Section 3007 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or RCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 6927, or has impeded or is impeding, through action or inaction, the performance of a response action or natural resource restoration with respect to the Site, or has been convicted of a criminal violation for the conduct to which this waiver would apply and that conviction has not been vitiated on appeal or otherwise; or

b. that the materials containing hazardous substances contributed to the Site by such person have contributed significantly, or could contribute significantly, either individually or in the aggregate, to the cost of response action or natural resource restoration at the Site.

X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

19. Except as provided in Paragraph 17 (Non-Exempt De Micromis Waiver), nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. Except as provided in Paragraph 17 (Non-Exempt De Micromis Waiver), the Parties expressly reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that they may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto.

20. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that the Settling Defendant is entitled, as of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), for "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree are Past Response Costs, AOC Oversight Costs, and costs any other party has paid

at or in connection with the Site through the date this Consent Decree is entered by the Court.

21. Settling Defendant agrees that, with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by it for matters related to this Consent Decree, it will notify EPA and DOJ in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. Settling Defendant also agrees that, with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against it for matters related to this Consent Decree, it will notify EPA and DOJ in writing within 10 days of service of the complaint or claim upon it. In addition, Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and DOJ within 10 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment, and within 10 days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial, for matters related to this Consent Decree.

22. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendant shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the Covenant Not to Sue by Plaintiff set forth in Section VII.

XI. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

23. Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA, upon request, copies of all records, reports, or information (hereinafter referred to as "records") within its possession or control or that of its contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Site.

24. Confidential Business Information and Privileged Documents.

a. Settling Defendant may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the records submitted to Plaintiff under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. 2.203(b). Records determined to be confidential by EPA will be accorded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies records when they are submitted to EPA, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendant that the records are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2 Subpart B, the public may be given access to such records without further notice to Settling Defendant.

b. Settling Defendant may assert that certain records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing records, it shall provide Plaintiff with the following: 1) the title of the record; 2) the date of the record; 3) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or

firm), and address of the author of the record; 4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; 5) a description of the subject of the record; and 6) the privilege asserted. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a record, the record shall be provided to Plaintiff in redacted form to mask the privileged information only. Settling Defendant shall retain all records that it claims to be privileged until the United States has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendant's favor. However, no records created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other settlement with the EPA pertaining to the Site shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

25. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

26. Until 10 years after the entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records now in its possession or control, or which come into its possession or control, that relate in any manner to response actions taken at the Site or the liability of any person under CERCLA with respect to the Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

27. After the conclusion of the 10-year document retention period in the preceding paragraph, Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and DOJ at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such records, and, upon request by EPA or DOJ, Settling Defendant shall deliver any such records to EPA. Settling Defendant may assert that certain records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege, it shall provide Plaintiff with the following: 1) the title of the record; 2) the date of the record; 3) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or firm), and address of the author of the record; 4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; 5) a description of the subject of the record; and 6) the privilege asserted. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a record, the record shall be provided to Plaintiff in redacted form to mask the privileged information only. Settling Defendant shall retain all records that it claims to be privileged until the United States has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendant's favor. However, no records created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other settlement with the EPA pertaining to the Site shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

28. Settling Defendant hereby certifies individually that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, reports, or information relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972.

XIII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

29. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Defendants in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, DOJ, and Settling Defendant, respectively.

As to the United States:

As to DOJ:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice (DJ # 90-5-1-1-4541/1)
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

As to EPA:

Director, Environmental Cleanup Office
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
ECL - 113
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

Dave Tomten
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Idaho Operations Office
1435 N. Orchard
Boise, Idaho 83706

Ruth Broome
Office of Management and Planning
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
OMP-146
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

As to Settling Defendant:

Michael Graves
Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable, Golden & Nelson, P.C.
320 S. Boston Ave.
Suite 400
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

James K. Dierking
Fluor Corporation
One Enterprise Drive
Aliso Viego, CA 92656-2606

XIV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

30. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of interpreting and enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

XV. INTEGRATION/[APPENDICES]

31. This Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties with respect to the settlement embodied in this Consent Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree:

"Appendix A" is the map of the Site;

"Appendix B" is the Post Implementation Site Control Plan for the Site

XVI. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

32. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 days for public notice and comment. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that this Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendant consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

33. If for any reason this Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

XVII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

34. The undersigned representative of Settling Defendant and the Deputy Section Chief of the Environmental Enforcement Section of the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and bind legally such Party to this document.

35. Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree, unless the United States has notified Settling Defendant in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.

36. Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name and address of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant hereby agrees to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including but not limited to, service of a summons.

XVIII. FINAL JUDGMENT

37. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute the final judgment between and among the United States and the Settling Defendant. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 200__.

The Honorable Edward J. Lodge
United States District Judge

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Monarch Greenback, et al., CV 02-436-S-EJL, relating to the Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site.

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Date: 8/10/04

Catherine McCabe
Deputy Section Chief
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Date: 6/3/04

Paul Gormley
Trial Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, DC 20044-7611

FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Date: _____

Michael Gearheard
Director, Office of Environmental Cleanup
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

Date: _____

Ted Yackulic
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Monarch Greenback, et al., CV 02-436-S-EJL, relating to the Talache Mine Tailings Superfund Site.

FOR DEFENDANT THE DOE RUN COMPANY

Date: 29 JAN 04

Louis J. Maruchau,
Vice President Law,
The Doe Run Company

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Name: Michael D. Graves

Title: Attorney

Address: 320 S. Boston Avenue, Suite 400
Tulsa, OK 74103-3708

in this matter on/4. MDG

619671.4